IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR

WEDNESDAY, THE 21ST MARCH 2007 / 30TH PHALGUNA 1928

WP(C).No. 9682 of 2007(C)

PETITIONER:

FASILUDEEN, S/O HASANARU KUNJU,
HARUN VILLA, CHERATTUKUZHI, NILAMEL P.O.

BY ADV. SRI.M.R.ANANDAKUTTAN
SMT.M.HEMALATHA
SRI.R.S.MADHU
SRI.MAHESH ANANDAKUTTAN

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINNEER,
ELECTRICAL SUB DIVISION, KADAKKAL.

2. SMT.OUVA UMMA, CHARUVILA VEEDU,
CHARAYAM.

3. SMT. LAILA BEEVI, SUDHINA MANZIL,
CHARAYAM, KANNAMCODU, ADAYAMAN P.O.

4. THE ADDITIONAL DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
COLLECTORATE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.M.K.PUSHPALATHA
STANDING COUNSEL K.S.E.B. SRI.JOSE J.MATHEIKKAL

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 21/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR, J.

W.P.(C).No.9682 of 2007-C

Dated this the 21st day of March, 2007

JUDGMENT

The petitioner challenges, Ext.P4 order giving sanction to draw an electricity line to the residence of one Smt. Jameela Beevi. The sketch of the proposed route is produced as page No.5 of Ext.P1. The line is proposed to be drawn through the northern boundary of the petitioner's property from point 'D to E'. The alternate route suggested cut across the property of others, for which their consent is necessary. Going by the sketch in Ext.P1, I find that no serious prejudice is caused to the petitioner. Therefore, this Court need not interfere with Ext.P1. But, the K.S.E.B. shall ensure that the line is drawn as far as possible through the northern boundary of the petitioner's property. Subject to this direction, the Writ Petition is dismissed.

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR, JUDGE.

MS